

# **INTER CA – NOVEMBER 2018**

**Sub: COSTING** 

Topics - Material, Budgets, Process Costing, Joint &

By- product Test Code – N38

**Branch: Multiple** Date:

(50 Marks)

### Answer 1

(i) EOQ = 
$$\sqrt{\frac{2ab}{CS}}$$

where

a = Annual consumption

b — Buying cost per order

C =Cost per unit

S = Storage and other inventory carrying cost rate

EOQ for Super Grow	EOQ for Nature's Own	
$EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 2,000 \times 1,200}{480}}$	$EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 1,280 \times 1,400}{560}}$	
$=\sqrt{10,000}$ or 100 bags	$=\sqrt{6,400}$ or 80 bags	

- (ii) Total annual relevant cost for Super Grow Fertilizer
  - = Total annual relevant ordering costs + Total annual relevant carrying cost
  - = (1,200/100) x 2,000 + ½ x 100 bags x 480
  - = Rs. 24,000 + Rs. 24,000 = Rs. 48,000

Total annual relevant costs for Nature's Own Fertilizer

- = Total annual relevant ordering costs + Total annual relevant carrying costs
- $= (1,400/80) \times 1,280 \text{ bags} + \frac{1}{2} \times 80 \text{ bags} \times \text{Rs.} 560$
- = Rs. 22,400 + Rs. 22,400 = Rs. 44,800
- (iii) Number of deliveries for Super Grow Fertilizer per year

Annual Demand of Fertilizer bags

EOO

= 2,000 bags/100 bags = 20 orders

Number of deliveries for Nature's Own Fertilizer per year

= 1,280 bags/80 bags = 16 orders

#### Answer 2

This cost of placing an order, when component is purchased, is not given. This can be found out by EOQ formula.

EOQ = 
$$\sqrt{\frac{2 \text{ x Annual consumption x Cost of placing an order}}{\text{Cost of carrying one unit of inventory for one year}}}$$

Suppose cost of placing an order is x.

Substituting the available information

$$2,000 = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 20,000 \times x}{0.25}} \text{ or } x = Rs.25$$

Cost of placing an order = Rs. 25

Average stock level = Minimum stock level + 1/2 EOQ = 400 + 1/2 (2,000) = 1,400 units

**Comparison of annual costs** 

Make		Buy	
(i) Storage cost 1,400 x 0.25	` 350	Purchase Cost: 20,000 x 9	1,80,000
(ii) Ordering cost (20,000 ÷ 2,000) x 25	250		
(iii) Material cost 20,000 x 2	40,000		
(iv) Labour cost 20,000 x 6	1,20,000		
(v) Rental charges Rs. 200 x 12	2,400		
	1,63,000		1,80,000

**Conclusion :** The company should make the component till it has some alternative use for existing capacity. If it is possible to find an alternative use for existing capacity so that opportunity cost exceeds Rs. 17,000, i.e., `1,80,000 - `1,63,000, buying will become better than manufacturing. Labour cost has been presumed to be variable cost. Fixed cost being sunk cost is not relevant for decision making.

#### Answer 3

Before preparing Process III A/e process cost sheet should be prepared.

# Process A Period (FIFO Method) Statement of Equivalent Production

Opening Stock 1,000 units Introduced 42,600 units

Input		Output			<b>Equivalent Production</b>						
Item		Units	Item	tem Units Material A Material B Labour Overhea		Material A		al A Material B		Labour Overheads	&
					Units 9		Units	%	Units	%	
Op. stock		1,000	Normal loss	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Process transfer	II	42,600	Completed :								
			O/stock	1,000	-	-	300	30	500	50	
			Introduced &completed	36,800	36,800	100	36,800	100	36,800	100	
			Abnormal loss	200	200	100	200	100	160	80	
			Closing stock	3,600	3,600	100	2,880	80	2,160	60	
_	•	43,600		43,600	40,600		40,180		39,620		

# **Statement of cost for each Element**

Elements of cost		Cost Rs.	<b>Equivalent Production Units</b>	Cost per unit Rs.
Material A :				
Transfer from previous process				
	` 3,30,800			
Less value of normal scrap				
	6,000*	3,24,800	40,600	8
Material B :				
Added in the process		1,60,720	40,100	4
Direct Wages		79,240	39,620	2
Overhead		39,620	39,620	1
Total		6,04,380		

<sup>\*</sup>Important Note: It is a convention that the scrap value of normal loss should be deducted from the cost of materials and more specifically where appropriate from the cost of materials input from the previous process.

**Statement of Apportionment of Cost** 

Items	Elements	<b>Equivalent production Units</b>	Cost per unit Rs,	Cost Rs.	Total Rs.
O/Stock (For	Material A	-	-	-	-
completion)	Material B	300	4	1,200	-
	Wages	500	2	1,000	
	Overhead	500	1	500	2,700
Introduced and	Material A	36,800	8	2,94,400	
completed during the period	Material B	36,800	4	1,47,200	
	Wages	36,800	2	73,600	
	Overhead	36,800	1	36,800	5,52,000
Closing stock	Material A	3,600	8	28,800	
	Material B	2,880	4	11,520	
	Wages	2,160	2	4,320	
	Overhead	2,160	1	2,160	46,800
Abnormal loss	Material A	200	8	1,600	
	Material B	200	4	800	
	Wages	160	2	320	
	Overhead	160	1	160	2,880
	Total Cost				6,04,380

#### **Process III Account**

Details	Units	Amount	Details	Units	Amount
To Balance b/d	1,000	Rs.14,400	By Normal Loss	2,000	Rs.6,000
To Process II A/c	42,600	3,30,800	By Process IV A/c	37,800	5,69,100
Materials		1,60,720	By Abnormal loss	200	2,880
Wages		79,240	By C/Stock	3,600	46,800
Overhead		39,620			
	43,600	6,24,780		43,600	6,24,780

#### Note:-

(i) Units processed during the period

= units transferred to process + Opening stock

(ii) Production = Opening stock + Units introduced - Closing units

= 1,000 + 42,600 - 3,600 = 40,000

(iii) Normal loss s= 5% of 40,000

(iv) Cost of transfer to process (IV)

(a) Value of opening stock 14,400

(b) Cost incurred for completing the units representing O/stock during the period 2,700

(c) Cost for units introduced and completed during the period 5,52,000 5,69,100

Answer 4

**Production:** 

Sales 53,000 units
Finishing goods inventory 6,000 units
59,000 units

Requirements of Chemical L:

Production of 59,000 needs (59,000 x 4 kilos)

Decrease in inventory

Total kilos needed

Kilos

2,36,000

1,86,000

Note: Each unit of N require 4 kilos of chemical L.

Answer 5

Actual expenditure on overheads Rs. 1,08,000 Fixed overheads under-budget 8,000

Budgeted expenditure on overheads	1,16,000
Less: Budgeted variable overhead 22,000 x RS. 3	<u>66,000</u>
Budgeted fixed overhead expenditure	<u>50,000</u>

#### Answer 6

# Process A Period—February 1999 (FIFO Method)

**Statement of Equivalent Production** 

Input Output				Equivalent Production			
Particulars	Units	Dantian lana linit		Mate	rial	Labour and	Overhead
Particulars	Units	Particulars	Units	Units	%	Units	%
Opening stock	4,000	Units completed :					
Units		(a) Work on opening stock	4,000	_		3,000	75
introduced	16,000	(b) New units completed	10,000	10,000	100	10,000	100
		Closing stock	6,000	6,000	100	2,000	331/3
	20,000		20,000	16,000		15,000	

#### **Statement of Cost for each Element**

<b>Elements of Cost</b>	Cost	<b>Equivalent units</b>	Cost per units
Material	Rs. 5,120	16,000	Re. 0.32
Labour	3,000	15,000	0.20
Overhead	3,000	15,000	0.20

**Note :** Only cost for the period will be considered in this statement.

**Statement of Apportionment of Cost** 

Items	Elements	<b>Equivalent production</b>	Cost per unit Re.	Cost Rs.	Total Rs.
Opening WIP	Material Labour	3,000	0.20	600	_
	Overhead	3,000	0.20	600	1,200*
Units newly	Material	10,000	0.32	3,200	
introduced and	Labour	10,000	0.20	2,000	
completed	Overhead	10,000	0.20	2,000	7,200
Closing inventory	Material	6,000	0.32	1,920	
	Labour	2,000	0.20	400	
	Overhead	2,000	0.20	400	2,720

<sup>\*</sup>This is the cost incurred on opening work-in-process during the period.

Dr. Process A Account Cr.

Particulars	Units	Amount Rs.	Particulars	Units	Amount Rs.
To Opening Stock	4,000	1,600	By Finished Stock A/c	14,000	10,000*
To Unit introduced	16,000		By Closing Stock	6,000	2,720
Material		5,120			
Labour		3,000			
Overhead		3,000			
	20,000	12,720		20,000	12,720

<sup>\*</sup> Process A has been credited by an amount of Rs 10,000.

The details for the same are given below:

(i)	Cost already incurred on opening stock	Rs. 1,600
(ii)	Cost of work done for completing the opening stock	1,200
	(Refer to statement of apportionment of cost)	
(iii)	Cost for completing newly introduced units	7,200
	Cost of units completed and transferred to finished stock	10,000

## Answer 7

#### Net income when joint costs are apportioned on sales value basis

Product	Sales Value	Separate costs	S.V. at split-off	Apportioned	Net income
(1)	(2)	(3)	Point(2)-(3)—(4)	Joint cost (5)	(4)-(5)=(6)
Α	Rs. 1,15,000	Rs. 30,000	Rs. 85,000	Rs. 68,000*	Rs. 17,000
В	10,000	6,000	4,000	3,200	800
С	4,000	_	4,000	3,200	800
D	30,000	1,000	29,000	23,200	5,800
	1,59,000	37,000	1,22,000	97,600	24,400

<sup>\*</sup> Rs. 97,600 x 85,000/1,22,000 = Rs. 68,000. Other cost have been calculated similarly.

#### Net income of each product if sold at split-off point

Product	Output	S.P. per unit	Sales value at split-off point	Allocated J.C.	Net income
Α	5,00,000	Re. 0.15	Rs. 75,000	Rs. 65,946#	Rs. 9,054
В	10,000	0.50	5,000	4,397	603
С	5,000	0.80	4,000	3,517	483
D	9,000	3.00	27,000	23,740	3,260
			1,11,000	97,600	13,400

# Rs.  $97,600 \times 75,000/1,11,000 = Rs. 65,946$ . Other costs have been calculated similarly.

# Determination of additional net income by altering the processing decisions

8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8								
Product	Sales value after	Sales value at ^	Incremental	Separate	Incremental			
	further processing	Split-off point	sales value	costs	gain/loss			
Α	Rs. 1,15,000	Rs. 75,000	Rs. 40,000	Rs. 30,000	Rs. 10,000			
В	10,000	5,000	5,000	6,000	(1,000)			
С	4,000	4,000	_	_	_			
D	30,000	27.000	3,000	1,000	2,000			
	1,59,000	1,11,000	48,000	37,000	11,000			

**Note**: Products A and D should be sold after further processing. However, products B and C should be sold at split-off point.

\*\*\*\*\*\*